



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,540	02/02/2001	Se-Woong Park	0630-1179P	8036
2292	7590 . 12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			BALI, VIKKRAM	
	FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2623	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/773,540	PARK, SE-WOONG			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vikkram Bali	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS</li> </ul>	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.			
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (	extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	implifying the		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 11-17.					
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-6,8-10 and 18-23.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app	oroved or b)  disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		10 1		
10. Other:	ı				
: !	er G	Vikkram Bali Primary Examine Art Unit: 2623			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims are still deemed unpatentable over the prior art and the arguments filled are not persuasive.